NON-PUBLIC?: N

ACCESSION #: 9211180022

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME: COMANCHE PEAK - UNIT 1 PAGE: 1 OF 06

DOCKET NUMBER: 05000445

TITLE: MANUAL REACTOR TRIP DUE TO FEEDWATER FLOW CONTROL

VALVE FAILURE

EVENT DATE: 10/12/92 LER #: 92-022-00 REPORT DATE: 11/11/92

OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED: N/A DOCKET NO: 05000

OPERATING MODE: 1 POWER LEVEL: 100

THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR

SECTION: 50.73(a)(2)(iv)

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER:

NAME: D. E. BUSCHBAUM, COMPLIANCE TELEPHONE: (817) 897-5851

SUPERVISOR

COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIPTION:

CAUSE: A SYSTEM: FW COMPONENT: OPVI MANUFACTURER: 0088

REPORTABLE NPRDS: Y

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED: NO

## ABSTRACT:

At 1210 on October 12, 1992, Steam Generator (SG) Number (No.) 4 Steam and Feedwater Flow Mismatch Alarm annunciated. The Reactor Operator (RO) immediately took manual control of the SG No. 4 Feedwater Flow Control Valve (FCV). The SG No. 4 FCV had failed in the closed direction, causing loss of feedwater flow to SG No. 4, and would not respond to manual control. At 1214, with SG No. 4 level at 28 percent and dropping, the RO manually tripped the reactor. Plant systems responded as expected. The plant was stabilized in Mode 3, Hot Standby, at approximately 1220 on October 12, 1992.

The root cause of this event was the failure of a spring in the SG No. 4 FCV pressure regulator due to the wrong pressure regulator being installed. Corrective actions include repair of SG No. 4 FCV, and a revision to the Master Parts List. Generic corrective actions include verification of

the correct regulators in the other FCVs and other non-safety critical valves and a separate review of the Master Parts List.

END OF ABSTRACT

TEXT PAGE 2 OF 6

## I. DESCRIPTION OF THE REPORTABLE EVENT

## A. REPORTABLE EVENT CLASSIFICATION

Any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any Engineered Safety Feature (ESF), including the Reactor Protection System (RPS)(EIIS:(JC)).

## B. PLANT OPERATING CONDITIONS PRIOR TO THE EVENT

On October 12, 1992, Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES) Unit 1 was in Mode 1, Power Operation, with reactor power at 100 percent.

C. STATUS OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, OR COMPONENTS THAT WERE INOPERABLE

AT THE START OF EVENT AND THAT CONTRIBUTED TO THE EVENT

There were no inoperable structures, systems or components that contributed directly to the event.

D. NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVENT, INCLUDING DATES AND APPROXIMATE TIMES

At 1210 on October 12, 1992, Steam Generator(SG) (EIIS:(SG)(AB)) Number (No.) 4 Steam and Feedwater Flow Mismatch Alarm annunciated on the Main Control Board (MCBD)(EIIS:(MCBD)(IB)). The Balance of Plant (BOP) Reactor Operator (RO) (utility, licensed) noted that feedwater flow to SG No. 4 was less than steam flow and immediately took manual control of the SG No. 4 Feedwater Flow Control Valve, (FCV)(EIIS:(FCV)(SJ)). The SG No. 4 FCV had failed in the closed direction, causing loss of feedwater flow to SG No. 4, and would not respond to manual control. At 1211, with SG No. 4 level dropping, the Main Feedwater Pumps (EIIS:(P)(SJ) were manually increased to their maximum output and the SG No. 4 Feedwater Flow Control Bypass Valve (EIIS:(FCV)(SJ)) manually opened in an attempt to maintain SG No. 4 level. At 1214, with SG No. 4 level at 28 percent and

dropping, the Reactor Operator (RO) (utility, licensed) manually tripped the reactor. Both Motor Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pumps (EIIS:(P)(BA)) automatically started, restoring SG levels. Plant systems responded as expected. The plant was stabilized in Mode 3, Hot Standby, at approximately 1220 on October 12, 1992.

An event or condition that results in an automatic or manual actuation of any ESF, including the RPS, is reportable within 4 hours under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(ii). At 1452 on October 12, 1992, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Operations Center was notified of the event via the Emergency Notification System.

TEXT PAGE 3 OF 6

E. THE METHOD OF DISCOVERY OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE, OR PROCEDURAL OR PERSONNEL ERROR

An alarm on the MCBD alerted the BOP RO to the situation. The BOP RO and RO took immediate corrective actions but could not maintain SG No. 4 level. The decision was made to manually trip the reactor prior to the automatic reactor trip on LO-LO SG Level.

## II. COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURES

A. FAILURE MODE, MECHANISM, AND EFFECT OF EACH FAILED COMPONENT

A failure of the spring in the pressure regulator for SG No. 4 FCV caused the valve to fail closed and prevented control from the MCBD.

### B. CAUSE OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE

Examination of the failed SG No. 4 FCV pressure regulator revealed that an incorrect regulator had been installed. A pressure regulator with a range of 0-60 pounds per square inch (psig) was installed where a 0-125 psig regulator was required.

C. SYSTEMS OR SECONDARY FUNCTIONS THAT WERE AFFECTED BY FAILURE OF COMPONENTS WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS

Not applicable - no failures of components with multiple

functions have been identified.

## D. FAILED COMPONENT INFORMATION

Pressure Regulator

1-FCV-540 PR1

Manufacturer: ITT, Hammel DAHL Conoflow

Model Number: FH-60 Airpac

TEXT PAGE 4 OF 6

III. ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

## A. SAFETY SYSTEM RESPONSES THAT OCCURRED

The following safety system actuation signals occurred as a result of this event:

Reactor Protection System
Auxiliary Feedwater System (AFW)(EIIS:(BA))

## B. DURATION OF SAFETY SYSTEM TRAIN INOPERABILITY

Not applicable - there were no safety systems which were rendered inoperable due to a failure.

# C. SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE EVENT

This event is completely bounded by the accident analysis in Chapter 15.2.7 of the Final Safety Analysis Report for a Loss of Normal Feedwater. A reactor trip on low SG water level in any SG and the initiation of AFW provides the necessary heat removal capability. The RO manually tripped the reactor before the automatic trip for LO-LO SG Level was reached and the AFW flow initiated as required. Therefore, this event did not adversely affect the safe operation of CPSES Unit 1 or the health and safety of the public.

## IV. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

### ROOT CAUSE

The cause of the event was the failure of a spring in the SG No. 4 FCV pressure regulator. However, the root cause of this event was the installation of a 0-60 psig pressure regulator where a 0-125 psig

pressure regulator was required. The 0-60 psig pressure regulator was listed as an acceptable replacement part in the Master Pads List. In September, 1990, a cut in the diaphragm of SG No. 4 FCV pressure regulator was discussed. Instrumentation and Control (I&O) (utility, nonlicensed) personnel went to the Warehouse to get replacement parts for the FCV. They received two pressure regulators, one 0-60 psig and one 0-125 psig; both listed as acceptable replacements for the FCV pressure regulators. I&C personnel installed the 0-60 psig pressure regulator into SG No. 4 FCV and returned the 0-125 psig pressure regulator to the Warehouse.

TEXT PAGE 5 OF 6

## V. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

## A. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE

## IMMEDIATE CAUSE

Failure of a spring in the SG No. 4 FCV pressure regulator.

# **CORRECTIVE ACTION**

The pressure regulator for SG No. 4 FCV was replaced with a 0-125 psig regulator, as required, and the valve returned to service.

### ROOT CAUSE

The root cause of this event was the installation of the wrong FCV pressure regulator.

# **CORRECTIVE ACTION**

The Master Parts List, showing the 0-60 psig pressure regulators as an acceptable replacement part for the FCVs, has been revised to the required 0-125 psig pressure regulators.

The root cause of this event occurred in 1990. Recent corrective actions emphasing the importance of Self Verification and the need to reduce personnel errors at CPSES addresses the personnel error involved in this LER.

B. CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN ON GENERIC CONCERNS IDENTIFIED AS A DIRECT RESULT OF THE EVENT

GENERIC CONCERN

The possibility exists that incorrect pressure regulators could be installed in the other three FCVs in Unit 1 and the four FCVs in Unit 2.

## **CORRECTIVE ACTION**

The pressure regulators in the other three Unit 1 FCVs and the four Unit 2 FCVs were verified to be the 0-125 psig regulators, as required.

## TEXT PAGE 6 OF 6

## GENERIC CONCERN

The possibility exists that incorrect pressure regulators were installed in other non-safety critical valves in Unit 1.

### CORRECTIVE ACTION

The Single Point Failure Analysis was reviewed to identify the non-safety critical valves. The pressure regulators were then identified for these valves. These regulators were then walked down and/or verified to be correct.

# **GENERIC CONCERN**

The possibility exists that the Master Parts List could reference incorrect replacement parts for other components.

## CORRECTIVE ACTION

A review of this issue has been previously initiated and will be formally documented as a Quality Assurance Deficiency in accordance with applicable CPSES Procedures.

### VI. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

Seven CPSES Licensee Event Report (LER) describe previous events involving a reactor trip and the Feedwater System (EIIS:(SJ)). However, the root causes of these events and the resultant corrective actions are sufficiently different from this event to conclude that the previous corrective actions could not have been expected to prevent this event. Therefore, no previous similar events have been reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73.

## VII. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The times listed in the report are approximate and Central Daylight Time.

## ATTACHMENT 1 TO 9211180022 PAGE 1 OF 1

Log # TXX-92511 File # 10200 Ref. # 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv) TUELECTRIC

November 11, 1992

William J. Cahill, Jr. Group Vice President

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION (CPSES) DOCKET NO. 50-445
MANUAL OR AUTOMATIC ACTUATION OF ANY ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 92-022-00

## Gentlemen:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report (LER) 92-022-00 for Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station Unit 1, "Manual Reactor Trip Due to Feedwater Flow Control Valve Failure".

Sincerely,

William J. Cahill, Jr.

JET/tg

Enclosure

c - Mr. J. L. Milhoan, Region IV Resident Inspectors, CPSES (2)

400 N. Olive Street L.B. 81 Dallas, Texas 75201